

***Appendix D:***  
***List of Correspondence***





# 1. Agency Correspondence

## Agency Correspondence

DATE	FROM	TO	SUBJECT
2/13/06	DNR	SHA	Finfish species in the project vicinity.
5/8/06	DNR	SHA	Environmental Review of project.
9/19/06	USFWS	SHA	Endangered and threatened species in project area.
10/2/06	USFWS	SHA	Coordination on federally listed or proposed to be listed endangered or threatened species in the project area.
1/22/07	Frederick County Fire & Rescue	SHA	Project impacts on fire and rescue services.
5/21/07	SHA	M-NCPPC	Coordination on the Park Potomac development.
6/13/02	Frederick County Dept. of Planning & Zoning	SHA	Historic preservation concerns with the project in Frederick County.
4/26/04	SHA	MHT	Determination of Eligibility for Bridge Numbers 10078, 10079, and 10080 Finding that no historic properties will be affected by project number FR382B21.
5/27/04	MHT	SHA	Concur with April 26, 2004 determinations that bridges are ineligible.
2/12/07	SHA	MHT	Determinations of Eligibility for historic structures (AEC and 2 wetland mitigation sites) in the project area (with attachments 1, 2, and 4).
4/13/07	MHT	SHA	Do Not Concur with February 12, 2007 determinations of eligibility. Concur with AEC (eligible) and 8374 Woodville Road (not eligible). Do not concur with 8435 Woodville Road (eligible)).
3/12/07	Frederic County Historic Preservation Commission	SHA	Coordination on historic resources in the vicinity of Wetland Mitigation Areas 19 and 20.
1/10/08	SHA	MHT	Determination of adverse effect letter (with attachments 2, 3, 4 and 5)
4/4/2008	SHA	MHT	Determinations of eligibility of CSX bridges and discussion of Seneca Creek State Park (without attachments)
6/26/08	MHT	SHA	Concurs that the project will have an adverse effect on historic resources. Concurs with SHA's revised boundary for Belward Farm to 107 acres. Lists resources within the project APE.
6/2/08	SHA	FHWA	Asking them to notify ACHP of adverse effect of project (without attachments).
1/17/08	SHA	NPS (Ms. Rust)	Determination of adverse effect to Monocacy National Battlefield (with attachments 4 and 5)
1/17/08	SHA	NPS (Ms. Trail)	Determination of adverse effect to Monocacy National Battlefield (with attachment 3)
3/18/08	SHA	USDI NPS National Capital Region	Apprising the USDI National Capital Region of adverse effects on Monocacy National Battlefield
4/18/08	USDI National Park Service	SHA	Response to finding of adverse effect on Battlefield; suggestions for possible mitigation of effects. Asks SHA to include additional consulting parties.

DATE	FROM	TO	SUBJECT
3/18/08	SHA	National Park Service – National Capital Region	Forwarding letters sent to MD SHPO, MNB and NHL Philadelphia Region that identify impacts to Monocacy National Battlefield and requesting comments (without attachments)
2/1/08	Frederick County Landmarks Foundation	SHA	Expressing concerns about the impacts to Schifferstadt
2/25/08	SHA	Frederick County Landmarks Foundation	Response to concerns over impacts on Schifferstadt.
2/7/08	Frederick County Historic Preservation Commission	SHA	Concurrence with adverse effect determinations; agree to join as consulting party.
2/8/08	City of Frederick Historic Preservation Commission	SHA	Historic preservation concerns with the project in the City of Frederick.
2/19/08	Frederick County Parks & Rec.	SHA	Concerns with historic preservation and park impacts to Rose Hill Manor and Historical Park.
4/17/08	GSA	SHA	Agree to join as consulting party for AEC adverse effect
6/20/08	SHA	Mr. May - Crown Village Farm LLC	Requesting consultation regarding adverse effect to England/Crown Farm (without attachments).
7/22/08	Crown Village Farm, LLC	SHA	Accepting the invitation to be a consulting party.
6/20/08	SHA	Mr. & Mrs. Thatcher – Birely-Roelkey Farm	Requesting consultation regarding adverse effect to Birely-Roelkey Farmstead (without attachments).
6/20/08	SHA	Mr. McDonough & Mr. Justus – JHU Belward Farm	Requesting consultation regarding adverse effect to Belward Farm (without attachments).
6/20/08	SHA	Spring Bank LLC	Requesting consultation regarding adverse effect to Spring Bank (without attachments).
7/25/08	Dan Ryan Builders (Spring Bank)	SHA	Regarding increased noise levels; expressing preference for a landscaped berm rather than a noise wall to lower noise impacts.
6/23/08	SHA	Frederick County Landmarks Foundation	Requesting consultation regarding adverse effect to Schifferstadt
7/11/08	FHWA	NPS (Ms. Rust)	Informing the National Historic Landmark Philadelphia Region that the project will adversely affect Monocacy National Battlefield and summarizing the consultation to date (without attachments).
7/11/08	FHWA	ACHP	Notifying the ACHP that the project will have an adverse effect on historic properties, and noting the consultation that has taken place to date (without attachments).
7/29/08	ACHP	FHWA	ACHP will participate in the consultation to develop an MOA.
9/22/08	Civil War Preservation Trust	SHA	Accepting the invitation to be a consulting party.



2. Environmental Justice Coordination

Community Coordination

DATE	FROM	TO	SUBJECT
12/13/06	SHA	Public	Requesting assistance in identifying outreach efforts to low-income and minority populations
12/13/06	SHA	Public	Requesting assistance in disseminating information about the project and informing your community

3. Correspondence with Elected Officials

Correspondence with Elected Officials

DATE	FROM	TO	SUBJECT
8/1/02	MD Senate – Senator Roesser	MTA	CCT service to Frederick.
9/3/02	SHA	Montgomery County Council	Response to Councilmember Dacek’s transit comments.
9/5/02	MTA	MD Senate – Senator Roesser	Response regarding CCT service to Frederick.
9/24/02	MTA	Montgomery County Council	Response to Councilmember Dacek’s transit comments.
12/10/02	SHA	Frederick County Board of Commissioners	Response to Commissioner Grey’s comments on the project.
12/17/02	SHA	City of Frederick Mayor Dougherty	Response to City of Frederick’s Resolution and Staff Report on the project based on the DEIS.
9/25/03	MTA	MD House of Delegates - Representative Cryor	Response to comments on Middlebrook Station and the potential for an on-site DOE station.
10/22/04	SHA	MD Senate - Senator Forehand	Response to proposal for redesigning Gude Drive in Rockville as MD 28.
7/12/07	SHA	City of Frederick - Alderman Smith	Response to proposal for construction of an exit ramp from southbound US 15 to westbound Opossumtown Pike.

4. Selected Agency Correspondence from the 2002 Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Select Agency Correspondence from 2002 DEIS

DATE	FROM	TO	SUBJECT
10/8/96	USACE	FHWA	Responding affirmatively to invitation to be a cooperating agency.
6/17/97	EPA	FHWA	Responding affirmatively to invitation to be a cooperating agency.
6/17/97	SHA	M-NCPPC	Detailing the retention of Combination Alternates A and B for further study.
7/22/96	City of Gaithersburg	MDOT	Regarding parks within the City of Gaithersburg and their significance and funding; also talked about “Metropolitan Grove Road Park” and its significance as a part of planned development (TOD).
4/18/96	Fred Co DPW	SHA	Regarding the significance and usage of Rose Hill Manor Park and Urbana Community Park.
2/21/02	SHA	SHA	Notes from the Project Team meeting with DNR on 7/17/01 to review potential impacts to Seneca Creek State Park and North Germantown Greenway and current schedule to completion of the DEIS.
2/21/02	SHA	SHA	Notes from the Project Team meeting with M-NCPPC on 9/5/01 to review potential impacts to Black Hill Regional Park and current schedule for the DEIS.
3/22/02	MDNR	SHA	Regarding the significance and uses of Urbana Lake Fish Management Area and Seneca Creek State Park.
4/8/02	M-NCPPC	MDOT	Comments about project impacts on Middlebrook Hill NCA, Black Hill Regional Park, North Germantown Greenway and Little Bennett Regional Park; suggestions for potential mitigation efforts.
11/6/96	NPS	SHA	Providing MNB information.
3/17/98	SHA	NPS	Responding to the NPS email of 10/29/98.
10/29/98	NPS	SHA	Comments on related 106 process review.
1/27/99	NPS	SHA	Comments on interagency scoping presentation.
2/12/99	SHA	NPS	Responding to NPS comments.
3/25/02	NPS	SHA	Commenting on the preliminary DEIS (not in DEIS).
4/17/02	SHA	NPS	Responding to NPS 3/25/02 letter.